

# The Individual Attorney's AI Upskilling Playbook

YOUR PRACTICAL GUIDE TO BUILDING AI COMPETENCE

---

## Why We Created This Playbook

Courts and bar associations are no longer tolerating ignorance about AI.

- In *Mata v. Avianca*, lawyers were fined for filing fabricated citations generated by ChatGPT.
- In *Johnson v. Dunn* (2025), the court went further: attorneys were disqualified and referred to state bars after submitting hallucinated case law.
- The American Bar Association and state bars, including New York, now explicitly require lawyers to use AI with competence, confidentiality safeguards, and client communication when relevant.

This means two things:

1. Avoiding AI entirely is not a long term option, because competence includes understanding new technology.
2. Reckless adoption is career threatening. "The AI did it" is not a defense.

For individual attorneys, the path forward is neither hype nor avoidance. It's **measured upskilling**: learning the basics, starting small, verifying everything, and expanding only after proven results.

This playbook gives you the practical steps, the safe and unsafe use cases, and the questions to ask before you let AI touch your work.



[Learn AI As A Lawyer](#)



[Legal AI Compliance Framework](#)

Book a 15min AI & Data Readiness Check



# The 5-Step Upskilling System

*"You can't manage what you don't measure."*

## **STEP 1. LEARN THE BASICS AND WRITE YOUR 1-PAGE POLICY**

AI tools are fast, but they are not reliable by default. They generate plausible text, not authoritative law. They will produce confident but fabricated citations, blend jurisdictions, and misstate holdings.

Spend a few hours building your foundation:

1. **What AI does well:** summarizing, formatting, brainstorming, drafting non-substantive text.
2. **Where AI fails:** legal research, reasoning, final outputs without review.
3. **Where your red lines are:** privileged data, client identifiers, final filings, regulatory interpretations.

Then, write a 1-page policy for yourself. It should include:

- No client or privileged data in public AI tools.
- No filing or advice leaves your desk without verification.
- Only use tools with enforceable privacy terms and no data training.
- Verification of every output using your own 3-check system (cites, facts, reasoning).

Even if your firm doesn't have a formal policy, this personal policy shows diligence and protects you.

For a full breakdown of how to align your use policy with ethical duties of competence, confidentiality, communication, and supervision, see our **Legal AI Compliance Framework**.

[Book a 15min AI & Data Readiness Check](#)

## **STEP 2. CHOOSE THE RIGHT TASKS: THE TRAFFIC LIGHT SYSTEM**

Not every legal task belongs in AI. Some are safe and easy to review. Others are higher risk but manageable with protocols. And some are off-limits.

Below is an expanded set of **45 use cases** (15 in each category), drawn from bar guidance, recent sanctions, engineer-level awareness of failure modes, and skeptical but sane perspectives on AI.

**Green:** safe for adoption with routine review

**Yellow:** potentially useful later with strict protocols

**Red:** off-limits for beginners and high risk even for experienced users

### **GREEN**

*\* Safe to adopt with a routine review*

1. Timekeeping from voice notes.
2. Email triage and task extraction.
3. Meeting notes and internal summaries.
4. Document formatting (TOC, headings, numbering).
5. Plain-language rewrites of non-sensitive text.
6. Drafting checklists and SOPs from bullet points.
7. Template-fill helpers for letters or memos.
8. Clause comparison against your own standard forms.
9. Brainstorming deposition or meeting questions.
10. Agenda and chronology preparation (non-binding).
11. Intake normalization of client narratives.
12. File naming and metadata hygiene.
13. Cross-reference and defined-term consistency checks.
14. Drafting layperson explainers of *your verified content*.
15. Internal training material drafts (no client specifics).

***These tasks save time without creating new legal authority. Errors are easy to spot.***

## **YELLOW**

*\* Useful later with strict protocols*

1. Boilerplate first drafts of non-substantive documents.
2. Internal research memos for brainstorming angles.
3. Discovery grouping by topic (lawyer decides relevance).
4. Contract clause suggestions pulled from your precedent library.
5. Draft timelines from record sets (dates must be checked).
6. Deposition summaries (quotations must be verified).
7. Draft compliance checklists (cross-check with statute).
8. Summaries of public-source updates (agency blogs, not binding).
9. Draft client FAQs (general information only).
10. Expert search scaffolding (criteria lists, not selection).
11. Settlement option trees (scenario framings, not advice).
12. Drafting risk flags in contracts (lawyer decides materiality).
13. Procedural posture updates for clients (rules and dates checked).
14. Judge or jurisdiction summaries (verified by real orders).
15. Draft digests of regulatory updates (verify against primary sources).

## **RED**

*\* Do not start here*

1. Cite-driven legal research without independent verification.
2. Any filing or demand letter drafted directly by AI.
3. Final legal opinions.
4. Privilege or strategy documents in public tools.
5. Regulatory change detection and interpretation by chatbots.
6. Court deadline calculations or docketing.
7. Discovery responsiveness or privilege determinations.

8. Opposing-party or witness background reports from scraped data.
9. Auto-accepting AI contract redlines.
10. Engagement letters or fee agreements drafted by AI.
11. Settlement calculations without lawyer oversight.
12. Multi-jurisdiction legal analysis.
13. Client-specific risk or ethics assessments.
14. Any filing where an AI error could waive rights or arguments.
15. Tasks where an AI miss would cause irreparable harm.

***This is where sanctions, disqualification, and malpractice exposure live.***

Book a 15min AI & Data Readiness Check

### **STEP 3. PICK 1 TOOL AND RUN A 30-DAY PILOT**

Do not try to “adopt AI” all at once. Treat it as an experiment.

Criteria for selecting a tool:

- Solves a real bottleneck in your workflow.
- Enterprise or legal-specific version with enforceable privacy protections.
- No use of your data for training.
- Clear deletion rights and audit logs.
- Prefer legal-specific tools with citation verification.

Your 30-day pilot should follow this structure:

#### **Week 1: Baseline**

*Pick one Green task. Record current time spent.*

#### **Weeks 2–3: Controlled Use**

*Use AI only for that task. Log time saved and errors caught.*

#### **Week 4: Evaluation**

---

*Decide to keep, expand, or stop based on results.*

Nothing AI produces leaves your desk unchecked.

For the detailed ROI calculator, stop rules, and defensibility checklist, see our **Defensible Pilot Starter Kit**.

[Book a 15min AI & Data Readiness Check](#)

#### **STEP 4. VERIFY BEFORE ANYTHING LEAVES YOUR DESK**

Hallucinations are not rare exceptions, they are a feature of how language models generate text. Even specialized legal platforms show double digit error rates.

Adopt a **Three-Check System** for every AI-assisted output:

- **Cite Check:** Confirm every case and statute in primary sources.
- **Fact Check:** Confirm names, dates, figures, and clause numbers against originals.
- **Reasoning Check:** Ensure the logic follows, the holding matches, and the jurisdiction is correct.

Create an audit trail: add a 1-line note such as *"AI-assisted draft. Verified by [initials], [date]."*

For the full process, including examples of the five most common hallucination failure modes, see our **Legal AI Hallucination Guardrail Framework**.

This protects you, your client, and your license.

#### **STEP 5. EXPAND SLOWLY AND KEEP SCORE**

If your pilot succeeds, add one new use case at a time. Never loosen your verification rules.

Every month or quarter, review:

- Time saved versus review required.
- Any errors or close calls.
- Cost versus value delivered.
- Updates in bar guidance or vendor policies.

Document what works, what doesn't, and where the red lines stay firm.

To move from individual use to firm-wide adoption, you'll need structured evaluation criteria. Our **Legal AI Evaluation Framework** provides the scoring system, red flags, and quarterly review protocols that firms use to decide which tools are safe to scale.

Book a 15min AI & Data Readiness Check



## Practical Evaluation And Legal AI Vendor Guardrails

*"If a legal tool can't prove where its answers come from, it doesn't matter how good the presentation looks."*

Before you rely on any tool, run these **simple tests**:

- **Citation test:** Ask it to provide case law. Does it hallucinate?
- **Refusal test:** Ask an unanswerable legal question. Does it decline, or invent an answer?
- **Jurisdiction test:** Ask for the same issue in two jurisdictions. Does it keep them separate?

And before you adopt a vendor tool, ask these **non-negotiable questions**:

- Will you use our data for training?
- Can you prove jurisdiction lock?
- Can you align outputs with our precedents and drafting style?
- Will the tool work inside Word, Outlook, or our DMS?
- Can we require human sign-off before anything client-facing leaves the system?
- Do you provide audit logs for every query?
- Will you support a pilot where we measure ROI?

Any vendor who cannot answer these questions clearly should not make your shortlist.

[Book a 15min AI & Data Readiness Check](#)

---

## Your Next 7 Days

**Day 1 & 2:** Write your one-page AI use policy.

**Day 3:** Pick one Green-light task.

---

**Day 4:** Select a vetted tool and confirm privacy terms.

**Day 5 & 6:** Run 3–5 instances with strict verification.

**Day 7:** Decide whether to keep, expand, or stop.

## Bottom Line

AI is now part of legal practice whether lawyers like it or not. Judges expect you to know its risks. Clients expect you to use it responsibly. Regulators expect you to supervise it.

This playbook shows you how to upskill safely: start small, verify everything, and expand only what proves itself.

Lawyers who follow this path will increase their capacity, protect their license, and stay competitive. Lawyers who avoid or misuse AI will become the next cautionary tale.

Book a 15min AI & Data Readiness Check